

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/321.58	3 9 10/12	/94 BE	ERNA	E·	
				HUGHE	SEXAMINER
proc. v g opt v opt proc. proc. proc.			32M1/1016		
PHILIPPE JEAN HENRI BERNA MAS LIOTARD LES BROUSSES				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
F-30410	CHD_CE7E	EDANCE			. 28
MOLIERES"	-SON-CEZE	FRHNCE		DATE MAILED:	
F-30410	-SUR-CEZE			320.	5. 28

F-30410 MOLIERES-SUR-CEZE FRANCE	3206	28
	DATE MAILED:	
		10/16/95
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this a	pplication	
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
Supplemental Advisory Action		
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) is extended to run or continues to run	from the date of the final rej	ection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than size		
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory	the date of the response and also ount of the fee. Any extension fee	to the date for the pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	onsidered with the following effec	t, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be enter	red and the final rejection stands	because:
a.—There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proportion presented.	osed amendment is necessary an	d was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	search. (See Note).	
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appear	al by materially reducing or simpli	fying the issues for
appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding nu	mbor of finally rojected claims	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding not	mber of imany rejected claims.	
NOTE: See the attache	d sheet.	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed the non-allowable claims.	if submitted in a separately filed a	amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered be as follows:	will not be entered and the statu	s of the claims will
Claims allowed:		
Claims objected to:		
Claims rejected:		
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s).		
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but	does not overcome the rejection	because
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not show presented. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not show presented.	wn good and sufficent reasons wh	ny it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the	examiner	
	ZAGIIIIOI.	
Other		

Art Unit: 3206

Attachment to Advisory Action (paper #28)

It is noted that the amendment to the claims, in addition to the additional figure 9 on sheet 4/4, were submitted on the same date as the substitute specification, but somehow were displaced from same. This advisory action, therefore, is supplemental to the advisory action mailed 10/10/95 as paper Regarding the merits of the amendment to the claims, these amendments present new issues such as the "buffer having under its contact face a so large thickness". This is a new issue with respect to not only 35 U.S.C. §112 but also with respect to 35 U.S.C. §103. Another new issue is that the clamping is without "any risk at all" of damaging the objects. Also, at line 6 of claim 4, changing "having" to read --could have -- is a new issue (see also claims 5 and 6). These are only a few examples of the new issues raised by Applicant's amendment. Regarding the addition of new figure 9, the addition of this figure, while it may not raise the issue of new matter, does raise new issues with respect to 35 U.S.C. §112, and therefore will not be entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tom Hughes at telephone number (703) 308-1806 or Fax (703) 305-3579.

sth October 4, 1995

#5

S. THOMAS HUGHES PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200